

Helen Boucher, MD



Douglas Golenbock, MD



Robin Ingalls, MD



Matthew Leibowitz, MD



Viral Testing Webinar The Scientific Rationale

#### Wednesday, Sep 2, 7:00pm

The focus of this webinar will be on the scientific rationale for a viral testing program, and it will include panelists from the testing task force's scientific advisory committee. Panelists will respond to questions from the community.



**Dr. Helen W. Boucher, MD:** Chief, Division of Geographic Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Tufts Medical Center

Dr. Douglas Golenbock, MD: Chief of Infectious Disease, UMass Medical

**Dr. Robin Ingalls, MD:** Professor of Medicine and Microbiology, Boston University Medical Center

Dr. Matthew Leibowitz, MD: Chief, Division of Infectious Diseases, Newton-Wellesley Hospital

Dr. Robert Plenge, MD: Senior Vice President, Head of Immunology, Bristol Myers Squibb



This is the first of two webinars. Tonight we will focus on the scientific justification for viral testing in K-12 classrooms. The next webinar will have more information on the operational logistics of how testing will be conducted.

Robert Plenge, MD



- Agenda (Robert, 2-minutes)
- Introductory remarks (David Lussier, 3-minutes)
- Introduction to COVID-19 (Helen, 5-minutes)
- Introduction to viral testing (Robert, 5-minutes)
- NWH perspective (Matt, 5-minutes)
- Submitted FAQs (Robin, 10-minutes)
- Open Q&A (Doug, 30-minutes)

Throughout, physicians will share their experiences in the hospital, which underscore that adherence to universal precautions will keep our K-12 classrooms safe.





## COVID-19

Helen W. Boucher MD FACP FIDSA

#### Chief, Division of Geographic Medicine and Infectious Diseases



### COVID-19

- Respiratory illness caused by the virus SARS-CoV-2
  - Coronavirus
    - Causes the common cold, SARS, MERS
    - SARS-CoV-2 is a NOVEL coronavirus
- Transmission
  - Via respiratory droplets like other respirator viruses (ex. Influenza, the common cold viruses)
  - When the virus comes in contact with mucosal surfaces (eyes, mouth, nose) it can invade the body and cause infection
  - There is evidence of transmission from asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic people (MMWR March 2020)

Small droplets travel as a cloud through the air Large droplets travel ballistically through the air

Tang JW et al, J Hosp Infect 2006; 64:100-14.

#### United States September 1st







> 31,406cases/24 hrs

www.covidtracking.com; nytimes.com; CNN.com

## MA DPH Dashboard – Favorable Trends September 1st



## Measures YOU Can Take Tufts Center

Public health interventions

- Wash your hands with soap and water thoroughly for 20 seconds (or use hand sanitizer if necessary) frequently
- DO NOT touch your face this could introduce virus on your hands to mucous membranes
- Physical distancing to avoid exposure
  - Exposure being 6 feet from an infected person for 15 minutes or more without wearing a mask (CDC)
  - Avoid gatherings of people
  - Avoid people who are coughing or appear ill
  - DO NOT go to work/school or be around others if you develop symptoms

## Stopping the transmission of COVID-19 by even one person makes a big difference!



Jonathan Corum and Siobhan Roberts, New York Times, March 19, 2020

#### Masks Work!



## Thank You!



## "Universal precautions" are our core defense against viral spread in K-12 schools



<u>Take home message #1</u>: viral testing will provide objective data that universal precautions are working. In turn, viral testing will provide *reassurance* to students, families, teachers, and staff that our schools are safe for in-person education.

# Most transmission occurs in those *without* symptoms – hence surveillance testing



#### Source: Massachusetts High Technology Council

#### There are different types of "viral tests" for active infection, which are different than serology tests for past infections

	PCR	Antigen	PCR Pooling	Antibody (Serology)
	<ul> <li>Viral DNA/RNA test from nasal/throat or saliva</li> <li>Samples typically processed in scale clinical labs or large hospitals with complex testing equipment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Nasal swab test to detect viral surface proteins (antigens)</li> <li>Samples typically processed in at-home, at doctor's offices or clinics with \$500 readers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Pooling of PCR samples to run same process reducing cost for low-risk testing</li> <li>Useful for large populations like colleges</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Detection of the antibody response to the virus</li> <li>Backwards looking surveillance tool</li> <li>Samples typically processed In large hospital or clinical labs</li> </ul>
Timing	<b>Early</b> (can detect ~2-3 days before symptoms present)	Later than PCR (often detection commences in line with onset of symptoms)	Early (in line with PCR testing)	During or after-the-infection
Accuracy	<b>High</b> (95% sensitivity) reported but lower (80%) in practice	<b>Medium</b> (80% PCR sensitivity) lower in practice (limited data)	High same as PCR, but requires additional follow up testing	Medium with false positives (~5%) a concern
Commercial Cost	Medium (~\$100+ fully-loaded cost, ~\$30-50 'at cost')	Low (~\$20-30 fully-loaded cost)	<b>Low</b> (~\$15-20 pooled / test)	<b>Medium</b> (~\$50-120 cost)

Source: Massachusetts High Technology Council

Note: many PCR and antigen tests today use a *shallow, anterior* nares swab, which is not uncomfortable.

# There are different types of "viral tests" for active infection, which are different than serology tests for past infections

	PCR	Antigen	
	<ul> <li>Viral DNA/RNA test from nasal/throat or saliva</li> </ul>	• Nasal swab test to detect viral surface proteins (antigens)	<u>Tak</u>
	• Samples typically processed in scale clinical labs or large	• Samples typically processed in at-home, at doctor's offices or	pro
	hospitals with complex testing equipment	clinics with \$500 readers	"m
			are
Timing	<b>Early</b> (can detect ~2-3 days before symptoms present)	Later than PCR (often detection commences in line with onset of symptoms)	infi
Accuracy	High (95% sensitivity)	Medium (80% PCR sensitivity)	ena
	reported but lower (80%) in practice	<ul> <li>lower in practice (limited data)</li> </ul>	ant
Commercial Cost	Medium (~\$100+ fully-loaded cost, ~\$30-50 'at cost')	Low (~\$20-30 fully-loaded cost)	wic

ke home message #3: we ppose PCR-based olecular" tests, as these available now; the rastructure established will able adoption of "rapid tigen tests" once they are dely available.

Source: Massachusetts High Technology Council

Viral testing is not monolithic – different populations should be *prioritized* based on available funding

#### **MHTC prioritization**

Symptomatic

Today

**Contact Tracing** 

**Front-Line Workers** 

**High-Risk Populations** 

#### **Other Sub-Populations**

**Universal Testing** 

#### Suggested priority of K-12 viral testing

- 1. Symptomatic testing and contact tracing
- 2. Baseline "time zero" testing of all students, teachers, staff
- 3. Surveillance testing of teachers and staff
- 4. Surveillance testing of older students
- 5. Surveillance testing of younger students

# Take home message #4: prioritize K-12 populations for viral testing based on available funds

## Newton Wellesley Hospital (NWH) perspective

- NWH is your local community hospital, affiliated with Mass General Brigham, many primary care providers and pediatricians, providing care for symptomatic patients
  - Access to testing for symptomatic patients, ordered by primary care
  - Access to testing for asymptomatic patients before procedures, travel, etc
  - Additional access to testing planned for symptomatic children at school in Newton, Wellesley and Waltham
- Public health value of baseline time zero testing, symptomatic testing, surveillance testing in teachers and students



- Why would we conduct asymptomatic testing on a random basis? Couldn't we cut costs by focusing on symptomatic individuals?
- Can we opt-in with stipulations, e.g. we only want our child tested if symptomatic?
- How will this testing program improve safety beyond the standard protocols in the setting of the town's low COVID-19 rates?
- Is the testing program "necessary" to reopen schools? What about places where kids have returned to school without such a program?

## What is the best test to use, PCR or antigen?

- Is PCR a better test?
- Why not wait for cheaper, rapid antigen tests?
- The PCR test has a very high cost and slow turnaround time. It is overly sensitive and detecting viral fragments in patients who are no longer contagious for weeks after their infection.
- What about that New York Times article?
  - NY Times August 29, 2020 by Apoorva Mandavilli

#### Your Coronavirus Test Is Positive. Maybe It Shouldn't Be.

The usual diagnostic tests may simply be too sensitive and too slow to contain the spread of the virus

#### Rapid Tests vs PCR

Which type of test is better for routine monitoring?



Adapted from Paul Sax, NEJM Journal Watch blog

Viral load estimates and test sensitivities from Larremore, 2020 https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.22.20136309v2.full.pdf

## Who should be tested and how often?

- How is the program valuable if we aren't testing every student, every week? If we miss a case, won't this negate the value of the entire program?
- What about batch testing/pooled testing? Won't that will save money?
- What about testing wastewater?

## Contact tracing and confidentiality

- How will positive results be handled?
- Who will get this information?
- What will happen to the rest of the class if a teacher or students tests positive?

All positive tests from an approved lab in the state automatically get uploaded to the state database. All test results will abide by HIPPA regulations. Only the Wellesley Board of Health will have the jurisdiction to determine who has access to the results of testing. Any contact tracing will be determined and conducted by the BoH.